

*University of Strathclyde*

Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry

**Industrial Placement Assessment Form**

Candidate's Name:

Reg. No.:

Placement Provider:

<p><b>Marking Guidelines for all Categories</b></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">10 Truly Exceptional          9 Outstanding          8 Excellent          7 Very Good          6 Good          5 Satisfactory          4 Just adequate          3 Sub-standard          2 Poor          1 Very weak          0 No contribution</p>	<p>The mark awarded under each section is a <b>composite</b> for the assessment issues listed therein.</p> <p><i>Examiners are asked to underline any issues that <b>significantly</b> influenced the candidates score and annotate with a "+" or "-".</i></p> <p><i>Written notes should only be added where truly exceptional circumstances apply.</i></p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<i>Out of 10</i>	<i>Mark</i>
<p><i>10 marks</i>  <b>Technical Presentation</b>, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ overall clarity of entire report</li> <li>▪ logical arrangement and organisation of material</li> <li>▪ absence of typing errors</li> <li>▪ English usage</li> <li>▪ suitability of display techniques (graphs, tables, photos, etc)</li> <li>▪ adequacy of molecular diagrams, descriptive legends, and labels</li> </ul>		<i>(multiply "Marks Out of 10" by 1)</i>
<p><i>10 marks (double weighted, therefore marks are out of 20 once weighting factor is applied)</i>  <b>Scope</b>, particularly:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ clarity in defining the remit</li> <li>▪ appropriate background material</li> <li>▪ provision of sufficient theoretical underpinning</li> <li>▪ coverage of the subject</li> <li>▪ systematic approach</li> <li>▪ unambiguous identification of source material and correct/adequate use of references</li> </ul>		<i>(multiply "Marks Out of 10" by 2)</i>
<p><i>10 marks (double weighted, therefore marks are out of 20 once weighting factor is applied)</i>  <b>Evaluation</b>, particularly:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ critical approach</li> <li>▪ unbiased approach</li> <li>▪ ability to summarise</li> <li>▪ identification of disagreements and possible resolution</li> <li>▪ use of evidence-based arguments</li> <li>▪ independent and original contribution, as opposed to supplying quotes</li> </ul>		<i>(multiply "Marks Out of 10" by 2)</i>
<p><b>Further Comments and Sub-Total</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>50 Marks Maximum</i></p>		